KARYA ILHAM PUBLISHING
CONNECTING MINDS, BRIDGING IDEAS, INSPIRING READERS

Journal of Advanced Research in Computing and Applications

Journal homepage:
https://karyailham.com.my/index.php/arca/index
ISSN: 2462-1927

From Reactive to Cognitive: A Framework for Optimizing System Reliability through Smart Maintenance and Human-AI Collaboration

Nursyamila Rasid[1], Siti Amira Othman[1,*], Nurul Azizatul Adawiyah A'zizi[1], Parveen Raj Mahesvaran[1], Rika Syntha Niun[1]

[1] Faculty of Applied Sciences and Technology, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, 84600, Pagoh, Johor, Malaysia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 10 February 2026
Received in revised form 30 May 2026
Accepted 2 June 2026
Available online 22 August 2026

Keywords:
Maintenance; troubleshooting; safety

ABSTRACT

The rapid evolution of the industrial landscape has shifted maintenance management from traditional manual inspections to complex digital ecosystems driven by automation and real-time data. Despite these advancements, a significant "troubleshooting gap" persists, characterized by a lack of specialized diagnostic skills and a transition from technical to cognitive challenges, where the primary obstacle is interpreting massive data streams rather than collecting them. This paper provides a systematic review of the trajectory of maintenance practices, categorizing them into traditional (reactive and preventive), modern (cloud-based CMMS and AI-powered predictive), and the emerging cognitive phase. The review introduces a simplified framework for modern troubleshooting built upon three pillars: a data-driven foundation based on international standards (e.g., ISO 55010), an interactive layer fostering human-technology collaboration through Explainable AI (XAI) and Augmented Reality (AR), and an analytical layer utilizing Cognitive Digital Twins (CDT) for prescriptive action. Furthermore, the study evaluates critical performance metrics such as Mean Time Between Failures (MTBF) and Mean Time To Repair (MTTR) alongside structured failure analysis methods like Root Cause Analysis (RCA) and Reliability-Centered Maintenance (RCM). While challenges such as high initial costs, data security risks, and workforce adaptation remain, the paper concludes that integrating these intelligent tools within a continuous learning loop is essential for achieving operational resilience, safety, and long-term asset value in the Industry 4.0 era.

1. Introduction

The evolution of industrial maintenance reflects a strategic shift from reactive necessity to a proactive, intelligent discipline driven by data and human-AI collaboration. Historically, industries relied on traditional reactive models, where equipment was repaired only after a breakdown occurred. While simple to implement, this approach frequently resulted in unpredictable downtime, high emergency repair costs, and significant safety risks. This eventually led to the development of

* *Corresponding author.*
E-mail address: sitiamira@uthm.edu.my

https://doi.org/10.37934/arca.44.1.6369

preventive maintenance, which utilized scheduled interventions based on time intervals or manufacturer guidelines to reduce unexpected failures. However, this method remained limited because it relied on fixed schedules rather than the actual condition of the equipment, often leading to over-maintenance of functional components [1].

The introduction of Computerized Maintenance Management Systems (CMMS) marked a major turning point, enabling industries to digitally track assets, manage work orders, and transition toward more data-driven solutions. In the modern era, the integration of the Internet of Things (IoT) and Artificial Intelligence (AI) has paved the way for predictive maintenance, which uses machine learning to identify subtle anomalies and performance signs that escape human observation. These technologies allow maintenance to be scheduled precisely when needed, optimizing spare part usage and minimizing production disruptions.

Despite these technological advances, a significant "troubleshooting gap" has emerged, shifting the challenge from a lack of data to an inability to interpret the vast amounts of information generated. Modern technicians often face cognitive overload when dealing with complex vibration spectra and thermal images. To bridge this gap, organizations are adopting a simplified framework built on reliable data foundations and international standards like ISO 55010. This framework is supported by an interactive layer featuring Explainable AI (XAI) and Augmented Reality (AR). XAI transforms "black box" systems into instructional tools by explaining the logic behind a diagnosis, while AR allows technicians to view real-time digital instructions overlaid on physical equipment [2].

Advanced analytical tools such as Cognitive Digital Twins (CDT) further enhance this process by creating dynamic virtual representations of assets that can simulate thousands of fault scenarios to identify root causes almost instantly. This systematic approach is often combined with failure analysis methods like Root Cause Analysis (RCA), Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA), and Reliability-Centered Maintenance (RCM) to ensure that maintenance resources are allocated effectively based on risk and equipment criticality.

While the transition to smart maintenance offers substantial benefits in efficiency and cost reduction, it also presents challenges, including high initial investment costs, the need for extensive workforce training, and increased data security risks. However, by fostering a culture of continuous learning and adopting emerging trends like blockchain for data integrity and hyperautomation for workflow efficiency, organizations can achieve higher system reliability and operational excellence. Ultimately, the future of maintenance lies in a collaborative ecosystem where human expertise and digital intelligence work together to achieve sustainable, long-term performance.

The evolution of industrial maintenance management reflects a strategic transition from reactive necessities to proactive, data-driven intelligence. This progression can be categorized into traditional methodologies and the emergence of smart systems, each with distinct implications for operational efficiency and reliability [3]. Despite the rapid advancement of smart maintenance technologies such as Artificial Intelligence (AI), Internet of Things (IoT), predictive maintenance, and digital monitoring systems, many industries still face a significant troubleshooting gap where technicians struggle to interpret large volumes of real-time data and identify root causes effectively. Existing studies mainly focus on technological improvements in maintenance systems, while limited attention is given to cognitive challenges, human AI collaboration, and the integration of troubleshooting, reliability analysis, and smart maintenance into a unified framework. Therefore, this study aims to review the transformation of industrial maintenance from traditional reactive and preventive approaches toward intelligent and cognitive maintenance systems driven by AI, IoT, Explainable AI (XAI), Augmented Reality (AR), and Cognitive Digital Twins (CDT). The study also evaluates the role of maintenance methodologies, Root Cause Analysis (RCA), Reliability Centered Maintenance (RCM), and predictive maintenance strategies in improving operational efficiency and system reliability. This study is significant because it provides a comprehensive understanding of how intelligent technologies and human expertise can work together to reduce downtime, improve troubleshooting accuracy, enhance safety, optimize maintenance costs, and support sustainable industrial performance in the Industry 4.0 era.

2. Maintenance Methodologies

Traditional maintenance primarily encompasses reactive and preventive strategies. Reactive maintenance, often termed "run-to-failure," involves performing repairs only after an asset has broken down. While this approach requires minimal initial tools or formal scheduling, it is inherently unreliable because it offers no control over asset health. The unpredictability of these failures often leads to expensive emergency repairs, prolonged unplanned downtime, and safety risks for workers. Furthermore, organizations are frequently forced to maintain large, costly inventories of spare parts to mitigate these sudden disruptions.

In contrast, preventive maintenance is a proactive approach involving scheduled actions taken at regular intervals typically based on calendar time or runtime to prevent failures before they occur. By detecting minor issues early, maintenance teams can extend equipment lifespan and ensure better operational continuity. However, this method has significant limitations; it relies on rigid planning rather than the actual condition of the equipment. This can result in "over-maintenance," where components are serviced or replaced while they are still fully functional, leading to an inefficient use of resources and labor.

The modern era of "Smart Systems" or "Industry 4.0" leverages technological advancements such as the Internet of Things (IoT), cloud computing, and cyber-physical systems to optimize industrial troubleshooting. Central to this transformation is predictive maintenance, which utilizes machine learning and data analytics to monitor equipment in real-time. Unlike traditional methods, predictive maintenance identifies subtle warning signs and anomalies such as fluctuations in vibration, temperature, and thermography that frequently escape human observation.

These smart systems enable technicians to optimize repair schedules, ensuring that maintenance is performed precisely when needed, thereby avoiding the high costs associated with both emergency repairs and unnecessary scheduled servicing. Advanced tools like Cloud-Based Computerized Maintenance Management Systems (CMMS) further enhance this by providing remote accessibility and real-time data updates from any location. Additionally, modern frameworks are increasingly incorporating Explainable AI (XAI) and Augmented Reality (AR) to help technicians understand "why" a fault is occurring and how to fix it through interactive digital guidance. By transitioning toward these automated and prescriptive systems, industries can significantly improve decision-making accuracy, reduce energy consumption, and maintain a competitive edge through operational excellence [4].

3. Maintenance Improvement

The evolution of industrial maintenance management reflects a strategic transition from reactive necessities to proactive, data-driven intelligence. Historically, traditional maintenance relied on reactive and preventive strategies. Reactive maintenance, often termed "run-to-failure," involves performing repairs only after an asset has broken down. While this approach requires minimal initial tools or formal scheduling, it is inherently unreliable because it offers no control over asset health, frequently leading to expensive emergency repairs, prolonged unplanned downtime, and safety risks for workers. In contrast, preventive maintenance is a proactive approach involving scheduled actions typically based on calendar time or runtime to prevent failures before they occur. By detecting minor issues early, maintenance teams can extend equipment lifespan and ensure better operational continuity. However, this method can result in "over-maintenance," where components are serviced while still fully functional, leading to an inefficient use of resources [5].

The introduction of Computerized Maintenance Management Systems (CMMS) marked a major turning point, enabling industries to digitally track assets and manage work orders. In the modern era, the integration of the Internet of Things (IoT) and Artificial Intelligence (AI) has paved the way for predictive maintenance, which uses machine learning to identify subtle anomalies and performance signs that escape human observation. These smart systems enable technicians to optimize repair schedules, ensuring that maintenance is performed precisely when needed, thereby avoiding the high costs associated with both emergency repairs and unnecessary scheduled servicing [6]. Modern frameworks are also incorporating Explainable AI (XAI) and Augmented Reality (AR) to help technicians understand "why" a fault is occurring through interactive digital guidance.

Practical applications across various sectors demonstrate that transitioning to advanced maintenance strategies yields measurable financial and operational gains. In the manufacturing sector, an automotive plant utilized structured fault analysis and diagnostic tools to achieve a 30% reduction in unplanned downtime and a 25% decrease in maintenance costs within one year. Similarly, in the energy sector, a power generation company realized a 40% reduction in maintenance costs and a 35% improvement in equipment availability by adopting predictive maintenance and integrating vibration and fault tree analysis. The healthcare industry has also seen success, with hospital networks integrating digital diagnostics and machine learning to monitor medical devices, resulting in a 50% reduction in equipment downtime and a 20% decrease in costs [7].

Central to these improvements is Root Cause Analysis (RCA), a systematic process that shifts the focus from treating symptoms to identifying the fundamental cause of a failure. Techniques such as the "5 Whys," Fishbone Diagrams, and Fault Tree Analysis (FTA) allow engineers to address core issues and prevent recurring problems. While the transition to smart maintenance offers substantial benefits, it presents challenges such as high initial investment costs, the need for extensive workforce training, and increased data security risks. However, by adopting emerging trends like blockchain for data integrity, digital twins for real-time simulation, and hyperautomation for workflow efficiency, organizations can achieve higher system reliability and operational excellence. Ultimately, the future of maintenance lies in a collaborative ecosystem where human knowledge and digital innovation work together to achieve sustainable, long-term performance [8].

4. The Role of IoT, AI, and Digital Twins in Advancing Industrial Maintenance Strategies

The evolution of industrial operations has transformed maintenance from a reactive necessity into a proactive, intelligent discipline driven by data and human-machine collaboration. Historically, maintenance was a "run-to-failure" reactive strategy where repairs occurred only after a breakdown, leading to unpredictable downtime and high costs. As systems grew more complex, industries adopted preventive maintenance, performing scheduled servicing at regular intervals. While this reduced some risks, it often led to "over-maintenance" where functional parts were replaced prematurely. Today, the integration of the Internet of Things (IoT) and Artificial Intelligence (AI) has established predictive maintenance as the modern standard, using real-time data to intervene only when a component is truly likely to fail [9].

Detecting a fault is the critical first step in this modern ecosystem. Model-based techniques use mathematical equations to identify deviations from normal behavior, while signal-based methods analyze vibration, sound, or current to spot anomalies. In manufacturing, vibration sensors provide

24/7 data, allowing systems to warn teams of abnormal patterns before expensive breakdowns occur. Once detected, diagnostic systems ranging from "if-then" rule-based logic to advanced machine learning identify the root cause. For example, the onboard diagnostic (OBD-II) systems in vehicles and the AI-driven sensors in aircraft engines monitor fuel flow and temperature to predict failures long before they disrupt safety or operations [10].

Root Cause Analysis (RCA) further enhances this by shifting focus from treating symptoms to identifying fundamental issues using techniques like the "5 Whys," Fishbone Diagrams, and Fault Tree Analysis (FTA). By addressing the source of recurring problems, organizations can improve system reliability and minimize future downtime. These efforts are often prioritized through Reliability-Centered Maintenance (RCM), which evaluates the consequences of failures to determine the most effective maintenance strategy for each asset.

Modern maintenance also relies heavily on the synergy between human expertise and digital intelligence. Human-Machine Interfaces (HMIs) and Digital Twins virtual replicas of physical systems allow operators to visualize complex data and simulate recovery strategies in real time before taking action. Advanced tools like Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) systems and cloud platforms (e.g., Microsoft Azure or AWS) monitor processes across entire networks, even enabling "self-healing" capabilities where systems automatically reconfigure after a failure [11].

Case studies across various sectors highlight the measurable impact of these innovations. In manufacturing, automotive plants have achieved a 30% reduction in unplanned downtime, while the energy sector has seen a 40% reduction in maintenance costs through predictive modeling of critical assets like transformers. The healthcare industry has similarly reduced equipment downtime by 50% using digital diagnostics to monitor medical devices. Despite challenges like high initial investment costs and the need for workforce retraining, the future of maintenance points toward hyperautomation and prescriptive systems that not only predict problems but suggest and perform corrective actions automatically [12].

5. Transformation of Industrial Maintenance Management through Digital and Intelligent Technologies

The evolution of industrial maintenance management reflects a strategic transition from reactive necessities to proactive, data-driven intelligence. Historically, traditional maintenance relied on manual inspections and reactive strategies, often termed "run-to-failure," where repairs occurred only after a breakdown. While this approach required minimal initial tools, it was inherently unreliable and led to unpredictable downtime, high emergency costs, and safety risks. To mitigate these issues, industries adopted preventive maintenance, performing scheduled servicing at regular intervals to detect minor problems before they escalated. However, this method often resulted in "over-maintenance," where functional parts were replaced prematurely because tasks were based on rigid schedules rather than actual equipment condition [13].

The introduction of Computerized Maintenance Management Systems (CMMS) marked a major turning point, enabling industries to digitally track assets and transition toward data-driven solutions. In the modern era, the integration of the Internet of Things (IoT) and Artificial Intelligence (AI) has established predictive maintenance as the current standard. These smart systems utilize sensors to monitor parameters like vibration, temperature, and pressure in real-time, allowing for maintenance only when a component is truly likely to fail. Modern tools such as thermal imaging cameras, ultrasound detectors, and digital multimeters with data-logging functions have replaced fragile mechanical instruments, increasing the precision and documentation quality of maintenance tasks.

Modern troubleshooting has shifted from addressing physical symptoms to a cognitive challenge of interpreting vast amounts of data. Techniques such as Root Cause Analysis (RCA), including the "5 Whys," Fishbone Diagrams, and Fault Tree Analysis (FTA), allow engineers to identify the fundamental causes of failure to prevent recurrence. To manage the resulting data complexity, organizations are adopting Explainable AI (XAI) and Augmented Reality (AR) to provide technicians with clear logic and interactive digital guidance. Advanced tools like Cognitive Digital Twins (CDT) further enhance this by creating virtual replicas that simulate fault scenarios to identify root causes almost instantly [14].

Practical applications across various sectors, such as manufacturing, energy, and healthcare, demonstrate that these advanced strategies yield measurable gains, including significant reductions in unplanned downtime and maintenance costs. For instance, the aviation industry uses sensors to predict engine failures long before they disrupt safety. While the transition to smart maintenance presents challenges including high initial investment costs, the need for extensive workforce training, and data security risks the future points toward hyperautomation and prescriptive systems. By adopting emerging trends like blockchain for data integrity and continuous learning loops, organizations can achieve higher system reliability and long-term operational excellence [15].

6. Conclusion

In conclusion, industrial maintenance has evolved from simple reactive repairs to advanced, intelligent systems driven by data and technology. Earlier methods like run-to-failure and scheduled maintenance were less efficient and often led to unexpected failures or unnecessary servicing. Today, technologies such as IoT, AI, and digital monitoring tools enable predictive maintenance, where issues can be detected early and addressed before failure occurs. Modern troubleshooting techniques and smart systems like digital twins further improve decision-making by identifying root causes more accurately. Although challenges such as cost and training still exist, the shift toward data-driven and automated maintenance significantly improves efficiency, safety, and reliability across industries.

References

[1] Bousdekis, Alexandros, Katerina Lepenioti, Dimitris Apostolou, and Gregoris Mentzas. "A review of data-driven decision-making methods for industry 4.0 maintenance applications." *Electronics* 10, no. 7 (2021): 828. https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics10070828

[2] Rana, Sohel. "AI-driven fault detection and predictive maintenance in electrical power systems: A systematic review of data-driven approaches, digital twins, and self-healing grids." *American Journal of Advanced Technology and Engineering Solutions* 1, no. 01 (2025): 258-289. https://doi.org/10.63125/4p25x993

[3] Chowdhury, Adar, and Md Nuruzzaman. "Design, Testing, And Troubleshooting of Industrial Equipment: A Systematic Review Of Integration Techniques For US Manufacturing Plants." *Review of Applied Science and Technology* 2, no. 01 (2023): 53-84. https://doi.org/10.63125/893et038

[4] Khayal, O. "A Comprehensive Review of Maintenance Strategies and Their Impact on Industrial Performance." *vol* 2 (2025): 1-9.

[5] Johnson, R. 2024. "Integrating Predictive Analytics and Root Cause Analysis for Optimized Project Management in Supply Chain Operations." International Journal of Research and Publication Review 5 (11): 3848-3857. https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.5.1124.3305

[6] Wang, X., Q. Wang, W. Li, and W. Zhang. 2023. "A Systematic Literature Review of the Predictive Maintenance from Transportation Systems Aspect." Sustainability 15 (21): 14536. https://doi.org/10.3390/su142114536

[7] Jantunen, E., and T. Jantunen. 2024. "Advancements in Predictive Maintenance: A Bibliometric Review of Diagnostic Models Using Machine Learning Techniques." Applied Sciences 3 (4): 28. https://doi.org/10.3390/analytics3040028

[8] Shaheen, Basheer Wasef, and István Németh. "Integration of maintenance management system functions with

industry 4.0 technologies and features—A review." *Processes* 10, no. 11 (2022): 2173. https://doi.org/10.3390/pr10112173

[9] Al-Najjar, B., and I. Alsyouf. 2022. "Artificial Intelligence for Predictive Maintenance Applications: Key Components, Trustworthiness, and Future Trends." Applied Sciences 12 (2): 898.

[10] Venkatasubramanian, Venkat, Raghunathan Rengaswamy, Kewen Yin, and Surya N. Kavuri. "A review of process fault detection and diagnosis: Part I: Quantitative model-based methods." *Computers & chemical engineering* 27, no. 3 (2003): 293-311. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0098-1354(02)00160-6

[11] Lee, Jay, Behrad Bagheri, and Hung-An Kao. "A cyber-physical systems architecture for industry 4.0-based manufacturing systems." *Manufacturing letters* 3 (2015): 18-23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mfglet.2014.12.001

[12] Isermann, Rolf. "Model-based fault-detection and diagnosis–status and applications." *Annual Reviews in control* 29, no. 1 (2005): 71-85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arcontrol.2004.12.002

[13] Kusiak, Andrew. "Smart manufacturing must embrace big data." *Nature* 544, no. 7648 (2017): 23-25. https://doi.org/10.1038/544023a

[14] International Organization for Standardization. 2019. Condition Monitoring and Diagnostics of Machines - Data Processing, Communication, and Presentation. ISO 13374-1:2019.

[15] Hollnagel, Erik. *Safety-II in practice: developing the resilience potentials*. Taylor & Francis, 2017. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315201023

[16] Ahmad, S., and N. R. Binti Ahmad. 2020. Maintenance and Troubleshooting Principles and Procedures. Politeknik Ungku Omar.

[17] Tabibian, R. 2024. "Ultimate Guide to Smart Maintenance." WorkTrek, September 30, 2024. WorkTrek.